Application No.

Applicant(s)

#8

Interview Summary

09/812,541

Lubenow et al.

Examiner

Arun Chakrabarti

Art Unit 1634



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):	
(1) Arun Chakrabarti	(3)
(2) Tom Burka	(4)
Date of Interview Aug 13, 2002	<u>-</u>
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1] ☐ applicant Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	
Exhibit shown of demonstration conducted. 4) — Tes	e/AL IVO. II yes, bilei description.
Claim(s) discussed: All	·
Identification of prior art discussed: All	
Agreement with respect to the claims fill was reached. gill was not reached. him N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other agreements: Hebricant was informed the basis of 102(e)&(b) To eight out as well as 103(a) rejection based on MeCox The formed that an after fuel amendment over RCE will be Submitted. Applicants response will Lee considered farorably.	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) il X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required